

Ten Years of HiAP Evaluation

HEALTH IN ALL POLICIES IN CANTERBURY
JACKSON GREEN



UDS HIA
Kaaren Mathias, 2008

CWMS
Adrienne Lomax, Ali Memon,
and Brett Painter, 2010

Central Plains Water HIA
Richard Morgan, 2010

CRLTS HIA
Dawn Gourdie, 2010

CHIAPP
Libby Gwaith, 2012

CRLTS HIA
Jackson Green, 2013

CTSP HIA
Jackson Green, 2013

LURP IA
Jackson Green and Michael Walsh,
2014

PLRP IA
Jackson Green, 2014

Objective: The Leadership Group operates effectively to develop HiAP

- ▶ Impact assessments have helped to improve the health effects of public policy in Canterbury, especially when conducted in cooperation with policy makers
- ▶ It is valuable to have an individual with the primary task of driving HiAP in Canterbury
 - ▶ Little mention of new leadership structure
- ▶ Other organisations could also help fulfil CHIAPP objectives (e.g. MSD, Housing NZ, CERA)



The Treaty of Waitangi is recognised and informs the work of the CHIAP Partnership

- ▶ Many evaluations all found that impact assessments improved consultation with Māori.
- ▶ Impact assessments allow Māori an opportunity to influence the plan or policy
- ▶ Impact assessment workshops help influential non-Māori from a wide range of disciplines to understand how their decisions affect Māori
- ▶ Impact assessment encourages the consideration of a broader definition of health, consistent with a Māori world view



The partners develop HiAP through planned capacity building/training activities

- ▶ Impact assessment evaluations note capacity building as one of the most important benefits
 - ▶ Especially for people responsible for drafting policy or making policy decisions
 - ▶ Especially by connecting people working in different disciplines
- ▶ HiAP training days, HPSTED, IRPG, and Broadly Speaking illustrate ongoing capacity building activities
 - ▶ But no evaluation of these activities?
- ▶ Has capacity building helped to make HiAP business as usual?

The partners incorporate HiAP into policy and programme development in an annually planned approach

- ▶ Large public policy projects frequently include impact assessments
 - ▶ But is there any formal planning for impact assessments, or are they included on an ad hoc basis?
 - ▶ Impact assessments are most effective when conducted at a very early stage in the project, before major changes become difficult
- ▶ Should impact assessments be scoped for every major policy development?
- ▶ Impact assessment is not the only way that CHIAPP influences policy and programme development, but it is all these evaluations cover

The partner organisations evaluate the HiAP activities within their organisations

- ▶ There have been many evaluations of discrete projects
 - ▶ Discrete evaluations have only assessed the effects on the policy document, not the implementation of the policy
 - ▶ ECAN LTP impact assessment (currently on hold) was planned to focus on influencing implementation rather than the policy itself. Is this approach useful at this stage?
- ▶ Overall CHAPP evaluation published 2012
- ▶ The CHIAPP leadership group regularly use the CHIAPP self assessment tool
- ▶ What about evaluation of each partners internal HiAP activities? E.g. training activities, effect of capacity building on usual practice